

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,481	PERRY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dan Washburn	2628	

All Participants:

(1) Dan Washburn.

(2) Clifton Mueller.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2006

Time: 2 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential 101 rejection of claims 1,2, 9-13, 15, and 21

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



ULKA CHAUHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn contacted Attorney Mueller concerning the lack of a tangible output in the description of claim 1. Examiner Washburn suggested amending the end of claim 1 to read, "and displaying the display image satisfying the rendering request". Attorney Mueller agreed to the changes..